arXiv:1612.07704v1 [math.ST] 22 Dec 2016

Linear regression estimation in non-linear single index models

Fadoua Balabdaoui[1,2*] and Gian Thanei[2]

December 23, 2016

[1]Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL Research University, CNRS, CEREMADE, 75016 Paris, France

[2]Seminar für Statistik, ETH Zürich, 8092, Zürich, Schweiz

Abstract

In this article, we consider the problem of estimating the index parameter α_0 in the single index model $E[Y|X] = f_0(\alpha_0^T X)$ with f_0 the unknown ridge function defined on $\mathbb{R}$, X a d-dimensional covariate and Y the response. We show that when X is Gaussian, then α_0 can be consistently estimated by regressing the observed responses $Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ on the covariates $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ after centering and re-scaling. The method works without any additional smoothness assumptions on f_0 and only requires that $cov(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X) \neq 0$, which is always satisfied by monotone and non-constant functions f_0. We show that our estimator is asymptotically normal and give the expression with its asymptotic variance. The approach is illustrated through a simulation study.

*Corresponding author. Email address: fadoua@ceremade.dauphine.fr

1 Introduction

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ n independent observations in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ generated by the regression model

$$Y_i = f_0(\alpha_0^T X_i) + \epsilon_i \tag{1.1}$$

where $E[\epsilon_i | X_i] = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$. This model, better known under *single index model*, have received a lot of attention in the statistical literature. Single index models have the merit of being more flexible than linear regression models and are widely applied in different fields including biostatistics and econometrics. The model imposes the challenge of estimating the non-parametric component f_0. Different estimation procedures of the ridge function f_0 and index parameter α_0 have been proposed and studied under specific assumptions on f_0 and identifiability conditions. The latter are necessary to show consistency. Assumptions about f_0 include for example continuity, existence of higher derivatives or fulfillment of some shape constraint such as monotonicity and convexity/concavity. See for example Ichimura [1993], Härdle et al. [1993], Hristache et al. [2001], Chen and Samworth [2016] and more recently Balabdaoui et al. [2016] where a least squares estimator of (f_0, α_0) was studied under the assumption that of f_0 is non-decreasing on $\mathbb{R}$. Identifiability of (f_0, α_0) can be shown under the condition that the index α_0 lies in the $(d-1)$-dimensional Euclidean sphere, that is $\|\alpha_0\|_2 = 1$, with the additional constraint that the first non-zero component is positive. Note that this additional condition is not necessary if f_0 is assumed to be monotone. For a proof of identifiability we refer to Lin and Kulasekera [2007] or the proof of Proposition 5.1 in Balabdaoui et al. [2016].

2 Linear regression for a non-linear problem

Whatever the chosen estimation procedure is, the main and common goal is to consistently estimate the pair (f_0, α_0). Recovering a consistent estimator of α_0 makes things easier for estimating the nonparametric component, especially if this index estimator converges at a fast enough rate. Several papers have been devoted to this parametric part of the problem, where $\sqrt{n}$-consistency is shown under some sufficient regularity assumptions. Examples include the maximum rank correlation of Han [1987] and the improved version of the average derivative studied by Hristache et al. [2001]. In this paper, we show that in case the covariate X have a non-degenerate normal distribution we can consistently recover the correct direction of the index parameter α_0 by simply performing a linear regression of the Y_i on the covariates X_i after centering and re-scaling; see Theorem 2.1. In Proposition 4.1, we show furthermore that the normalized estimator converges to a multivariate Gaussian distribution. The method works whenever $f(\alpha_0 X)$ and $\alpha_0 X$ are correlated, where X is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the same distribution as the covariates X_i's. More formally we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X_i, Y_i) be n independent random variables linked through the model in (1.1). Suppose that $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a positive definite $d \times d$ matrix Σ, and $cov(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0 X) \neq 0$. For any consistent estimators $\widehat{\mu}_n$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$ of μ and Σ, let $\tilde{X}_i = \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}(X_i - \widehat{\mu}_n)$ and define the linear regression estimator $\widehat{\beta}_n$ by*

$$\widehat{\beta}_n = \text{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n - \beta^T \tilde{X}_i)^2$$

where $\bar{Y}_n = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. *Define* $\widehat{\alpha}_n = \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\widehat{\beta}_n$. *Then, as* $n \to \infty$

$$\widehat{\alpha}_n \to_p \alpha^* = \lambda^* \alpha_0$$

where $\lambda^* = cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X\big)/\alpha_0^T \Sigma \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In the following I_d denotes the identity matrix of dimension $d \times d$ and $\tilde{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\tilde{x} = \Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu)$ where μ and Σ are respectively the mean vector and covariance matrix of a covariate X.

$$f_0(\alpha_0^T x) \;\; = \;\; f_0\big(\alpha_0^T \mu + \alpha_0^T \Sigma^{1/2} \tilde{x}\big) = g_0(\beta_0^T \tilde{x})$$

where $\beta_0 = \Sigma^{1/2}\alpha_0$ and $g_0(z) = f_0(\alpha_0^T \mu + z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. With this new parametrization we have

$$Y_i = g_0(\beta_0^T \tilde{X}_i) + \epsilon_i. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, we show that as $n \to \infty$

$$\widehat{\beta}_n \to_p \beta^* = \text{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} E[(Y - \beta^T \tilde{X})^2].$$

By definition of $\widehat{\beta}_n$, we necessarily have that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_i^T\right) \widehat{\beta}_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i \left(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n\right).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_i^T &= \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\mu)(X_i-\mu)^T\right]\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2} \\ &\quad +\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\Big[-(\widehat{\mu}_n-\mu)(\bar{X}_n-\mu)^T-(\bar{X}_n-\mu)(\widehat{\mu}_n-\mu)^T+(\widehat{\mu}_n-\mu)(\widehat{\mu}_n-\mu)^T\Big]\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\left(Y_i-\bar{Y}_n\right) = \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\mu)(Y_i-E(Y))-\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}(\bar{X}_n-\mu)(\bar{Y}_n-E(Y))$$

with $E(Y)=E[f_0(\alpha_0^TX)]$. By the strong law of large numbers, consistency of $(\widehat{\mu}_n,\widehat{\Sigma}_n)$, and the continuous mapping theorem applied to the operators $A\mapsto A^{1/2}$ and $A\mapsto A^{-1}$ defined on the space of symmetric and invertible matrices of dimension $d\times d$ respectively, we have for n large enough that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_i^T\right)\to_p I_d, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\left(Y_i-\bar{Y}_n\right)\to_p \Sigma^{-1/2}cov(X,Y)=cov(\tilde{X},Y)=E[\tilde{X}Y].$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\beta}_n=\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_i^T\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i(Y_i-\bar{Y}_n)\to_p E[\tilde{X}Y]=\beta^*.$$

Using iterated expectations we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^* &= E\big[E[\tilde{X}Y]|\tilde{X}\big] \\ &= E\big[\tilde{X}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\big], \quad \text{using (2.2).}\end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta^{*(i)}$, $\beta_0^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{X}^{(i)}$ denote the $i-$th component of β^*, β_0 and $\tilde{X}$ respectively. We will show now that the random variables $\beta_0^T\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{X}^{(i)} - \beta_0^T\tilde{X}\beta_0^{(i)}/\|\beta_0\|^2$ are independent. Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} cov\big(\beta_0^T\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(i)} - \beta_0^T\tilde{X}\beta_0^{(i)}\frac{1}{\|\beta_0\|^2}\big) &= cov\big(\beta_0^T\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(i)}\big) - var(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\beta_0^{(i)}\frac{1}{\|\beta_0\|^2} \\ &= \beta_0^{(i)} - \beta_0^{(i)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\tilde{X}^{(i)}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X}) = \left(\tilde{X}^{(i)} - \beta_0^T\tilde{X}\beta_0^{(i)}\frac{1}{\|\beta_0\|^2}\right)g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X}) + \beta_0^T\tilde{X}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\beta_0^{(i)}\frac{1}{\|\beta_0\|^2}.$$

Using the preceding decomposition and the fact that $\tilde{X}$ has zero expectation it follows that

$$\beta^{*(i)} = \beta_0^{(i)}E\big[\beta_0^T\tilde{X}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\big]\frac{1}{\|\beta_0\|^2}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Since $E\big[\beta_0^T\tilde{X}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\big] = cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^TX), \alpha_0^TX\big)$ and $\|\beta_0\|^2 = \beta_0^T\beta_0 = \alpha_0^T\Sigma\alpha_0$, it follows that $\beta^* = \lambda^*\beta_0$, with $\lambda^* = cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^TX), \alpha_0^TX\big)/\alpha_0^T\Sigma\alpha_0$. Finally, consistency of $\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}$ and the fact that $\beta_0 = \Sigma^{1/2}\alpha_0$ imply that

$$\widehat{\alpha}_n \to_p \Sigma^{-1/2}\beta^* = \lambda^*\alpha_0$$

as claimed. □

3 Discussion and illustration

Our result can be put in the following words: if the vector of covariates X is Gaussian, then one is able to recover the unknown index provided that $f_0(\alpha_0^T X)$ and $\alpha_0^T X$ are correlated, no matter how much the function f_0 deviates from linearity. Checking the normality assumption before applying our method can be done easily using any off-the-shelf test for normality. The natural question to ask is when the condition $cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X\big) \neq 0$ is satisfied. Intuitively, monotone but not flat functions f_0 should satisfy $cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X\big) > 0$ (resp. < 0) depending on the direction of monotonicity. Indeed, let Z be an independent copy of X and assume that f_0 is monotone non-decreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ such that there exists an interval $[a, b]$ on which f_0 is not constant.Then,

$$0 < E\Big[\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T Z) - f_0(\alpha_0^T X)\big)\big(\alpha_0^T Z - \alpha_0^T X\big)\Big] = 2\ cov(\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X\big).$$

Note that when X is centered, then the result applies for any function f_0 that can be written as the sum of a monotone function and an even function. When $cov\big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X\big) = 0$, then our estimator should converge to 0 in probability. Under the non-trivial correlation assumption, we can even show that the proposed estimator is asymptotically normal provided that the estimators $\widehat{\mu}_n$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$ converge to the truth at the $\sqrt{n}$-rate. This is the case if we take $\widehat{\mu}_n = \bar{X}_n$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}_n = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}(X_i - \bar{X}_n)(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^T$ are the empirical mean and variance based on the sample $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. In this case, we have $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_i^T = \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2}\widehat{\Sigma}_n\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1/2} = I_d$ so that $\widehat{\alpha}_n = \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)$, the empirical correlation. Using standard large sample theorems we can show that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n}{\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|_2} - \alpha_0\right) \to_d \mathcal{N}(0, V)$$

with V is given explicitly in Proposition 4.1, see Appendix. Thus, our method can be used to obtain asymptotic confidence sets for α_0. As the asymptotic matrix V is hard to estimate, this can be done using for example a bootstrap approach.

To illustrate our method, we performed as simulation study, which we now describe.

- A first experiment illustrates the quality of the index estimator via a bootstrap procedure. The ultimate goal is to see whether we get a good coverage of the true link function. The model we use in this setting is the following: $p = 10$, $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$, where Σ is a $p \times p$ positive definite matrix which we randomly generated so that it admits many entries that are close to 1 (the components of the covariate are strongly correlated). We chose $n = 1000$ and α_0 was randomly generated from the unit sphere. The responses $Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ were assumed to come conditionally from the Binomial model

$$Y_i | X_i = x \sim Bin\Big(10, \frac{\exp(\alpha_0^T x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha_0^T x)}\Big).$$

  Thus, $E[Y|X = x]/10 = f_0(\alpha^T x)$ where $f_0(z) = \exp(z)/(1 + \exp(z))$, which is monotone increasing on $\mathbb{R}$. The estimator $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ was first computed. Then $B = 1000$ samples $(X_1^{(b),*}, Y_1^{(b),*}), \ldots, (X_n^{(b),*}, Y_n^{(b),*})$ were bootstrapped by sampling $X_1^{(b),*}, \ldots, X_n^{(b),*}$ with replacement from the original sample of covariates $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the responses $Y_i^{(b),*}$ were conditionally sampled from $Bin\Big(10, \frac{\exp(\widehat{\alpha}_n^T x)}{1+\exp(\widehat{\alpha}_n^T x)}\Big)$ for $b = 1, \ldots, B$. Then, we computed the corresponding bootstrapped regression estimators $(\widehat{\alpha}_n^{(b),*})$ for $b = 1, \ldots, B$, and fitted a kernel estimator to illustrate the variability of the fitted link curves. The obtained curves along with the true link function are shown in the left plot of Figure 1. Except for the expected inconsistency of a kernel estimator towards the boundaries (here small and large abscissa) the method seems to give a satisfactory coverage of the true link function. We would like to note that an



Figure 1: Left: Actual samples $Y_i/10$ in the background in grey. The true link function $f_0(z) = \exp(z)/(1+\exp(z)$ is depicted by the solid black line and the fitted kernel estimators correspond to the grey curves. Right: log-log plot of the mean squared error $E[\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|_2^{-1} - \alpha_0\|_2^2]$ versus the sample size n.

isotonic estimator of the link function could have been computed via the PAVA. This has not been pursued here in order to keep the size of the paper within a reasonable length.

- In the second experiment the goal was to explore the performance of the index estimator when $cov(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X)$ is close to 0. When the covariates X_i are from a centered Gaussian, and f_0 is an even function, then $cov(f_0(\alpha_0^T X), \alpha_0^T X) = 0$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ should converge to 0 in this case. To assess the influence of this assumption on the convergence rate of $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ towards α_0 we use the family of link functions

$$f_{0,k}(z) = kz^4 + z^3/k, \;\; z \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $k > 0$. We evaluate the convergence rate of $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ by computing the mean squared error $E[\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|_2^{-1} - \alpha_0\|_2^2]$ for different values of n and k. The covariates $X_i, i = 1, \ldots$

were drawn independently from a centered Gaussian with dimension $p = 10$ and a positive definite covariance matrix Σ that was randomly generated. The distribution of $Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ is now conditionally Gaussian:

$$Y_i | X_i = x \sim N(f_{0,k}(\alpha_0^T x), 1)$$

where α_0 was randomly drawn from the unit sphere. The mean squared error was approximated using a Monte-Carlo approach based on 1000 replications. The right plot in Figure 1 shows, as expected, the good performance of the estimator for small values of k: as $k \to 0$ the monotone function $z \mapsto kz^3$ dominates in this case and the assumption of non-trivial correlation is satisfied. The picture is reversed for large values of k: as $k \to \infty$ $f_{0,k}$ looks more like an even function, pushing the model towards violation of that assumption.

4 Appendix

Proposition 4.1 *Let* $\widehat{\mu}_n = \bar{X}_n$ *and* $\widehat{\Sigma}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^T$. *Then,*

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n}{\|\widehat{\alpha}_n\|_2} - \alpha_0 \right) \to_d \mathcal{N}(0, V)$$

with V *given in (4.3).*

Proof. In the following, we write $C = cov(X, Y) = E[(X - \mu)Y] = E\big[(X - \mu) f_0(\alpha_0^T X)\big]$ using iterated expectations and let $\sigma^2(X) = E((Y - E(Y))^2 | X)$. By the Central Limit

Theorem, we have for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sqrt{n}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-\mu)(Y_i-E(Y)) - C \\ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-\mu)(X_i-\mu)^T v - \Sigma v \end{pmatrix} \to_d \mathcal{N}(0,\Gamma)$$

where Γ is a $(2d)\times(2d)$ matrix such that

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12} & \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and Γ_{ij} are $p \times p$ matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{11} &= E\big[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T (Y-E(Y))^2\big] = E\big[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T \sigma^2(X)\big], \\
\Gamma_{12} &= E\big[(X-\mu)(Y-E(Y))((X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T v)^T\big] \\
&= E\big[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T \big(f_0(\alpha_0^T X) - E(f_0(\alpha_0^T X))\big)(X-\mu)^T v\big], \\
\Gamma_{22} &= E\big[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T ((X-\mu)^T v)^2\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by definition of $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ and the fact that limit α^* satisfies

$$\alpha^* = \Sigma^{-1/2}\beta^* = \Sigma^{-1/2} E[\tilde{X}Y] = \Sigma^{-1} C,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha^*) &= \sqrt{n}\left[\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \bar{X}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n) - \Sigma^{-1}C\right] \\
&= \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \bar{X}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n) - C - \left(\widehat{\Sigma}_n v - \Sigma v\right)\right], \quad \text{with } v = \Sigma^{-1}C \\
&= \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu)(Y_i - E(Y)) - C - \left(\widehat{\Sigma}_n v - \Sigma v\right)\right] \\
&\quad -\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)(\bar{Y}_n - E(Y)) \\
&= \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu)(Y_i - E(Y)) - C - \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T v - \Sigma v\right)\right] \\
&\quad -\widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)(\bar{Y}_n - E(Y)) + \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^T v \\
&= \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1}\sqrt{n}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu)(Y_i - E(Y)) - C - \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T v - \Sigma v\right)\right] \\
&\quad +o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the δ-method and Slutsky's theorem, it follows from the previously established weak convergence that

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha^*) \to_d \mathcal{N}\Big(0, \Sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{11} - 2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{22})\Sigma^{-1}\Big).$$

Finally consider the function $h(x) = x/\|x\|_2$ and $h_i(x) = x_i/\|x\|_2$. Let H be the gradient matrix of h. Then, $H_{ij} = \partial h_i/\partial x_j = (\|x\|_2^2 - x_i^2)/\|x\|_2^3$ if $j = i$ and $-x_i x_j/\|x\|_2^3$ otherwise. Straightforward calculations show that, H^*, the gradient matrix H evaluated at α^* is given by $H^* = \frac{1}{|\lambda^*|}\big(I_d - \alpha_0\alpha_0^T\big)$. Using again the δ-method this yields

$$V = \frac{1}{(\lambda^*)^2}\big(I_d - \alpha_0\alpha_0^T\big)\Sigma^{-1}(\Gamma_{11} - 2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{22})\Sigma^{-1}\big(I_d - \alpha_0\alpha_0^T\big). \tag{4.3}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^{*(i)} &= E\Big[\tilde{X}^{(i)}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\tilde{X}^{(j)}h_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\beta_0^{(j)}\Big] \\ &= E\big[\tilde{X}^{(i)}\beta_0^T\tilde{X}h_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\big] \\ &= E\big[\tilde{X}^{(i)}g_0(\beta_0^T\tilde{X})\big].\end{aligned}$$

References

F. Balabdaoui, C. Durot, and H. K. Jankowski. Least squares estimation in the monotone single index model. *submitted*, 2016.

Y. Chen and R. Samworth. Generalised additive and index models with shape constraints. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 78:729–754, 2016.

A. K. Han. Non-parametric analysis of a generalized regression model: the maximum rank correlation estimator. *Journal of Econometrics*, 35(2-3):303–316, 1987.

W. Härdle, P. Hall, and H. Ichimura. Optimal smoothing in single-index models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 21(1):157–178, 1993.

M. Hristache, A. Juditsky, and V. Spokoiny. Direct estimation of the index coefficient in a single-index model. *Annals of Statistics*, pages 595–623, 2001.

H. Ichimura. Semiparametric least squares (SLS) and weighted SLS estimation of single-index models. *J. Econometrics*, 58(1-2):71–120, 1993. ISSN 0304-4076. doi: 10.1016/0304-4076(93)90114-K. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(93)90114-K`.

W. Lin and K. Kulasekera. Identifiability of single-index models and additive-index models. *Biometrika*, 94(2):496–501, 2007.